

**BEFORE DELHI COOPERATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI  
VIKAS BHAWAN-II, GROUND FLOOR, DELHI**

**DAILY ORDERS**

**Order of 20.01.2026**

**Appeal No. 058/2014/DCT**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. for the appellant society  
(through VC)

None for the respondents.

None has appeared on behalf of the respondents despite 2<sup>nd</sup> call.

Issue Court notice to the respondents and their counsels  
returnable on 29.04.2026.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Sh. Hariom Sharma, AR of the Appellant Society with  
Sh. R.P. Sahu, Adv.

None for the Respondents.

In response to the notices issued to R1 to R5, R2 appeared and informed that R4 had passed away and R1 had shifted to Noida. He undertook to supply fresh address of R1. Thereafter, R2 has not appeared on any subsequent date. Sh. Sahu submits that the facts stated by R2 have not been verified to be correct.

He, therefore, prays for service of R1, R3, R4 & R5 by way of publication at their last known addresses.

Noticing that the previous summons come back unserved, Tribunal is of the opinion that it shall not be possible to serve unserved respondents by ordinary process.

Let them therefore, be served by substituted service, by way of publication in Amar Ujala and Statesman.

Steps be taken within 10 days from today.

Adjourned to 27.03.2026 for reporting service.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Sh. Ravindra Krishan, Employee of the Appellant Bank in person and Sh. Anil Kumar, Adv. appears through VC.

None for the Respondents.

R2 is absent despite service of Court notice. R2 is therefore, proceeded against ex-parte.

TCR has already been received.

Adjourned for arguments to 30.04.2026.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Sh. Ravindra Krishan, Employee of the Appellant Bank in person and Sh. Anil Kumar, Adv. appears through VC.

None for the Respondents.

Sh. Anil Kumar on instructions from AR of the appellant bank submits that no new address of R2, the principal borrower is available. Notice issued to R3 & R4 had also come back unserved with the report that no such person resides at the addresses mentioned. Sh. Anil Kumar submits that the addresses as indicated in the memo of parties are last known address of the respondents, i.e. R2 to R4 and prays for substituted service of the said respondents by way of publication at their last known addresses.

Heard.

Noticing that the previous summons come back unserved, Tribunal is of the opinion that it shall not be possible to serve the unserved respondents by ordinary process.

Let them therefore, be served by substituted service, by way of publication in Amar Ujala and Statesman.

Steps be taken within 10 days from today.

Adjourned to 27.03.2026 for reporting service.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Appellant in person and Sh. Vineet Sharma, Adv. appears through VC.

Sh. R.K. Rawat, Adv. for R1 Society appears through VC.

None for R2 & R3.

Vide order dated 03.12.2025, R2 & R3 were directed to be served afresh. However, PF has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the appellant submits that he cannot serve R2 & R3 by ordinary process as R3 avoids the service and R2 is not traceable at the last address mentioned. He therefore, prays for substituted service for R2 & R3.

Heard.

Noticing that the previous summons come back unserved, Tribunal is of the opinion that it shall not be possible to serve the unserved respondents by ordinary process.

Let them therefore, be served by substituted service, by way of publication in Amar Ujala and Statesman.

Steps be taken within 10 days from today.

Adjourned to 27.03.2026 for reporting service.

Interim order to continue.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Ms. Shivani, Proxy Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Amrish Kumar Dwivedi, Clerk of R3 Bank with Sh. Ritik Chauhan, Proxy Counsel.

None had been appearing for the appellant over the last three dates of hearing and therefore, court notice was issued today. Proxy counsel has appeared and is praying for adjournment as her main counsel is not available today. On the other hand, counsel for the respondent bank is insisting on vacation of interim order.

Considering the fact that the main counsel is not available today, it is made clear that on the next date, interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated unless the appellant counsel appears and address arguments. Alternatively, appellant may approach R3 Bank and settle the matter.

Adjourned to 09.04.2026.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Ms. Shivani, Proxy Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Amrish Kumar Dwivedi, Clerk of R3 Bank with Sh. Ritik Chauhan, Proxy Counsel.

None had been appearing for the appellant over the last three dates of hearing and therefore, court notice was issued today. Proxy counsel has appeared and is praying for adjournment as her main counsel is not available today. On the other hand, counsel for the respondent bank is insisting on vacation of interim order.

Considering the fact that the main counsel is not available today, it is made clear that on the next date, interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated unless the appellant counsel appears and address arguments. Alternatively, appellant may approach R3 Bank and settle the matter.

Adjourned to 09.04.2026.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**



**20.01.2026**

Present: Appellant in person

Sh. Arun Lal, Adv. for the Respondent Society.

Sh. Arun Lal submits that he has verified from the society as well as the O/o RCS that the loan statement of account furnished by the appellant on the previous date of hearing by way of his affidavit, is incorrect. It is submitted that against an amount of Rs. 2,16,000/- claimed by the appellant to have been deducted from his salary, record of only Rs. 1,44,000/- is available with the office of RCS.

On a query by the Tribunal, appellant submits that he will not be able to pay Rs. 50,000/- each month to enter into a settlement.

In the aforesaid facts & circumstances, Tribunal is of the opinion that the matter cannot be settled. Let the matter be heard on merits.

Issue notice to the concerned AR of the RCS to present with the Trial Court record on 03.02.2026.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**BEFORE DELHI COOPERATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI  
VIKAS BHAWAN, GROUND FLOOR, DELHI.**

**Review Petition No. 020/2018/DCT**

**In the matter of :**

**Talagang CGHS Ltd.**

**...Appellant**

**Vs.**

**Smt. Sunita Trehan & Ors.**

**...Respondents**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Sh. Rajesh Garg, President of the Review Petitioner Society in person and Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. through VC.

Ms. Surbhi, Daughter of Respondent in person.

With the good offices of the President of the society and daughter of the respondent, the matter stands settled between the parties.

Considering the special case on account of respondent being a widow with no earning member in the family, society is directed to affording a concession and charge simple interest at the rate of @ 7.5%. Sh. Garg on behalf of the society has conceded to the directions passed by the Tribunal. He, however, submits that this may not be a precedent for future cases.

It is accordingly directed that the present concession directed to be shown to the respondent shall not be a precedent for other cases in the society.

Respondent has paid an amount of Rs 7,73,227/- towards the arrears of maintenance and the interest thereon. Legal expense, penalty and other expenses sought by the society are directed to be dispensed with.

Sh. Garg submits that a No Dues Certificate has been prepared and the same is handed over to daughter of respondent. Copy of the same is also placed on record.

In view of the No Dues Certificate, President of the society submits that they do not want to pursue the present review petition and prays to withdraw the review petition as not pressed.

Heard.

Allowed as prayed for.

The present Review Petition is accordingly disposed off as not pressed.

File be consigned to record room.

A copy of this order be sent to RCS and TCR, if any, be sent back to concerned branch of RCS.

2<sup>nd</sup> set be weeded out forthwith.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)  
MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)  
CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**BEFORE DELHI COOPERATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI  
VIKAS BHAWAN, GROUND FLOOR, DELHI.**

**Appeal No. 103/2016/DCT**

**In the matter of :**

**Smt. Sunita Trehan & Ors.**

**...Appellant**

**Vs.**

**Talagang CGHS Ltd.**

**...Respondents**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Ms. Surbhi, Daughter of Appellant in person.

Sh. Rajesh Garg, President of the Respondent Society in person and  
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. through VC.

With the good offices of the President of the society and daughter of the appellant, the matter stands settled between the parties.

Considering the special case on account of respondent being a widow with no earning member in the family, society is directed to affording a concession and charge simple interest at the rate of @ 7.5%. Sh. Garg on behalf of the society has conceded to the directions passed by the Tribunal. He, however, submits that this may not be a precedent for future cases.

It is accordingly directed that the present concession directed to be shown to the appellant shall not be a precedent for other cases in the society.

appellant has paid an amount of Rs 7,73,227/- towards the arrears of maintenance and the interest thereon. Legal expense, penalty and other expenses sought by the society are directed to be dispensed with.

Sh. Garg submits that a No Dues Certificate has been prepared and the same is handed over to daughter of appellant. Copy of the same is also placed on record.

In view of the No Dues Certificate, Ms. Surbhi on instructions from her mother, submits that she does not want to pursue the present appeal and prays to withdraw the same as not pressed.

Heard.

Allowed as prayed for.

The present appeal is accordingly disposed off as not pressed.

File be consigned to record room.

A copy of this order be sent to RCS and TCR, if any, be sent back to concerned branch of RCS.

2<sup>nd</sup> set be weeded out forthwith.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)  
MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)  
CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**BEFORE DELHI COOPERATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI  
VIKAS BHAWAN, GROUND FLOOR, DELHI.**

**Appeal No. 016/2024/DCT**

**In the matter of :**

**Mrs. Meenakshi Shukla  
Vs.  
The Shiv Coop. U/T/C Society  
Ltd. & Ors**

**...Appellant  
  
...Respondents**

**20.01.2026**

Present: None for the Appellant.

Sh. Parvindra Sharma, Adv. for the Respondent Society.

Case has been called twice since the morning, but none has appeared on behalf of the appellant.

Vide order dated 10.12.2025, appellant was permitted to serve R2 before the AR. Despite directions, PF was not filed and steps were not taken. Sh. Sharma submits that appellant is misusing the concession of interim order granted to him.

The conduct of the appellant was noticed in the proceedings of 11.02.2025 as well and he was afforded last opportunity to take necessary steps. Since, the appellant is not taking steps for service of the contesting respondents, Tribunal is of the opinion that the present appeal ought to be dismissed for non-prosecution.

We are therefore satisfied that the Appellant is not interested in pursuing the matter.

Appeal is therefore, dismissed for non-prosecution, the interim order dated 01.10.2024 thus, stands vacated. Respondent society shall be within its right to seek execution of the award against the principal borrower as well as the guarantor.

File be consigned to record room.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)  
MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)  
CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Ms. Sonika Singh, Adv. for the Appellant.

None for the Respondent Society.

Vide order dated 09.01.2026, it was noticed that an amount of Rs. 14,60,000/- with reasonable rate of interest if paid by the society, the matter can be settled.

Ms. Singh points out that in the proceedings of 09.01.2026, one amount of Rs. 10,00,000/- escaped the notice of the Tribunal as well as of the Ld. Counsel. She submits that in all, amount of Rs. 24,60,000/- has been deposited by the appellant. She has drawn attention of the Tribunal to annexure A11 which is a certificate for payment of two cheques for the amount of Rs. 5,00,000/- & Rs. 10,00,000/- respectively. She points out that the cheque of Rs. 10,00,000/- was not noticed in the proceedings of 09.01.2026.

Accordingly, a correction in the amount sought, is sought.

For the reason submitted, the proceedings of 09.01.2026 shall be read to refer to an amount of Rs. 24,60,000/- and not 14,60,000/- as recorded therein. The remaining proceedings shall remain the same.

Since, none has appeared on behalf of the respondent society, appellant can serve the order passed today upon the society failing settlement the matter shall proceed on merits.

Adjourned to 25.02.2026.

Copy of this order be given dasti to the appellant.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**Appeal Nos. 050/2024 & 051/2024/DCT**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Sh. R.P. Sahu, Adv. for the Appellant Society.

Sh. S.K. Singh, Adv. for R1 appears through VC.

Sh. Singh prays for adjournment to address arguments physically.

Heard.

Adjourned for arguments to 24.04.2026.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**Appeal No. 117/2024/DCT**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Sh. Rajiv Vig, Adv. for the Appellant Society appears through VC.

None for the Respondent.

Adjourned for arguments to 27.03.2026.

Interim order, if any, to continue.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**

**Appeal No. 126/2023/DCT**

**20.01.2026**

Present: Appellant in person and Sh. Anil Kumar, Adv. appears through VC.

Sh. Amrish Kumar Dwivedi, Clerk of R3 Bank.

Arguing counsel for R3 Bank is stated to be not available today. Therefore, adjournment is sought.

Heard.

Adjourned for arguments to 30.04.2026.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**



**20.01.2026**

Present: None for the Appellant Society.

Respondent in person.

Vide separate order announced today, present appeal is dismissed with costs.

A copy of this order along with the judgment be sent to the RCS.

TCR, if any, be sent back to the concerned branch of the RCS.

File be consigned to record room.

2<sup>nd</sup> set be weeded out forthwith.

**(J.P. AGRAWAL)**  
**MEMBER (DCT)**

**(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)**  
**CHAIRMAN (DCT)**