BEFORE DELHI COOPERATIVE TRIBUNAL
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
VIKAS BHAWAN-II, GROUND FLOOR, DELHI

DAILY ORDERS

Order of 03.08.2026

Appeal No. 038/2026/DCT

In the matter of:
Jyoti CGHS Ltd. Vs Sh. Ved Prakash Sharma

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Rajiv Vig, Adv. for the Appellant Society.

Sh. Sumit Sharma, S/o the Respondent.

Reply on behalf of respondent has been filed. Same is taken on record. Copy supplied.

TCR has been received.

Adjourned for arguments on 30.10.2026.

Interim order to continue.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 038/2024/DCT

In the matter of:
Delhi State Newspaper Employee Federation CGHS Ltd. Vs Smt. Meera Mishra

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Aakash Sehrawat, Adv. for the Appellant Society appears through VC.

Sh. Raman, Adv. for the Respondent.

Sh. Raman submits that he has been engaged recently and prays for adjournment to file his Vakalatnama and address arguments.

Heard.

Adjourned to 08.09.2026.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 039/2024/DCT

In the matter of:
Delhi State Newspaper Employee Federation CGHS Ltd. Vs Sh. Ved Prakash Mishra

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Aakash Sehrawat, Adv. for the Appellant Society appears through VC.

Sh. Raman, Adv. for the Respondent.

Sh. Raman submits that he has been engaged recently and prays for adjournment to file his Vakalatnama and address arguments.

Heard.

Adjourned to 08.09.2026.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 040/2024/DCT

In the matter of:
Delhi State Newspaper Employee Federation CGHS Ltd. Vs Smt. Sheela Pathak

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Aakash Sehrawat, Adv. for the Appellant Society appears through VC.

Sh. Raman, Adv. for the Respondent.

Sh. Raman submits that he has been engaged recently and prays for adjournment to file his Vakalatnama and address arguments.

Heard.

Adjourned to 08.09.2026.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 105/2026/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. Rajesh Kumar Vs RCS & Ors.

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Alok Kumar, Adv. for the Appellant.

Better application u/s 5 of the Limitation Act has been filed. Same is taken on record.

Issue notice in the application for condonation of delay as well as in the main appeal to R2, R4, R5 & R6 on filing of PF/SP returnable on 10.09.2026.

PF be filed within one week from today.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 113/2025/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. Surender Singh Vs Delhi State Taxi Operator Coop. T/C Services Society Ltd.

03.08.2026

Present: Ms. Aarti, Proxy Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Akshay Bhardwaj, Adv. for the Respondent Society.

On the last date of hearing, Sh. Raj Kumar, Sr. Assistant and Sh. Vinod Mishra, Section Officer, from the O/o RCS had appeared and sought time for production of records, alternatively, filing of report/affidavit of RCS explaining non- availability of the records. However, neither two of the officials are present nor the report of the RCS is submitted.

Issue Bailable warrants to Sh. Raj Kumar, Sr. Assistant and Sh. Vinod Kumar, Section Officer, O/o RCS in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- each to be executed through SHO, PS Civil Lines, Delhi, returnable on 08.09.2026.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 084/2024/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. Vicky Kanera & Anr. Vs RCS & Ors.

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Kishor, Counsel for the Appellant appears through VC.

Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. for R3.

Sh. Gaurav & Smt. Savitri, two of the LRs of R7.

LRs of R7 submit that they belong to the Valmiki Samaj and be provided a counsel at State Expense.

Heard.

Member Secretary, DSLSA, Rouse Avenue is requested to provide counsel at state expense to LRs of R7 if found eligible.

Copy of this order be sent to Member Secretary, DSLSA, Rouse Avenue and a copy be given dasti to LRs of R7 to seek counsel at state expense.

Adjourned for further proceedings to 01.09.2026.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 112/2023/DCT

In the matter of:
Ms. Ruchi Negi & Anr. Vs The Delhi State Coop. Bank Ltd. & Ors.

03.08.2026

Present: A2 Sh. Chandan Singh Negi and Sh. S.N. Bhagat, Adv., both appears through VC.

Sh. Bhavnesh Kumar, AR of R1 Bank with Sh. Akshay Bhardwaj, Adv.

Ld. Counsel for R1 Bank submits that the amount suggested by this Tribunal vide order dated 07.07.2026 is not acceptable to the bank. Ld. Counsel for the appellant also submits that Appellants is not in a position to arrange the said amount.

Heard.

Let parties enter into settlement failing which appellant should be ready to argue the matter on merits.

Adjourned to 08.09.2026.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 006/2022/DCT

In the matter of:
Shri Prateek Jain Vs Jain Coop. Bank Ltd. & Ors.

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Arpit Jain, Adv. for the Appellant appears through VC.

Sh. Ritik Chauhan, Adv. for R1 Bank.

Sh. Parth Mehra, Adv. for R2(i).

Sh. Chauhan has filed reply to the application moved by appellant for R1 to preserve the mortgage property. An application has also been moved for substitution of AR. Sh. Chauhan points out that proceedings u/s 14 of Sarfaesi Act, 2002 were commenced by the bank against the Mortgage Property. However, DRT (1) Delhi, has stayed the proceedings u/s 14 of the Sarfaesi Act, 2002.

Sh. Chauhan undertakes to share a copy of reply and the application with Appellant's Counsel by e-mail during the course of the day.

Sh. Jain, further, submits that service of R2 (ii) has been effected through publication in Umar Ujala on 11.06.2026. Sh. Jain undertakes to place on record a copy of during the course of the day.

Adjourned for placing on record the publication and for arguments on the application to 29.09.2026.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 142/2025/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. Katar Singh Bhati Vs Jain Coop. Bank Ltd. & Ors.

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Rishabh Jain, Adv. for the Appellant.

Sh. Ritik Chauhan, Adv. for R1 Bank.

In compliance of direction dated 02.06.2026, Ld. Counsel for the appellant has brought a statement of accounts of appellant's bank running into 170 pages. The same is returned back to Sh. Jain to file precise statement of accounts pertaining to each case identifying entry of credit in the account of the respondent bank.

Let the needful be done within four weeks from today.

Ld. Counsel for the appellant further submits that he has not received statement of accounts filed by Sh. Ritik Chauhan, counsel for the respondent bank on the last date of hearing. Sh. Ritik has handed over the same to Sh. Jain.

Ld. Counsel for the appellant submits that he has already place on record salary slips of the appellant showing deductions from his salary. Same is taken on record. He undertakes to supply the same to counsel for R1 bank by WhatsApp within two days from today.

R4 principal borrower has been served. However, none has appeared for R4. R4 is therefore, proceeded against ex-parte. Sh. Jain submits that he had filed amended memo of parties mentioning the Faridabad address of R4. However, the same is not on record.

Sh. Jain has now filed amended memo of parties. Same is taken on record.

Tribunal is of the opinion that the matter can be amicably settled between the appellant and the respondent bank, where appellant being a surety who has admittedly paid an amount of Rs. 9,23,000/- and claims to have actually paid Rs. 11,00,000/- seems to have been sufficiently burdened with liability. Appellant may approach the respondent bank to work out a settlement qua him only.

Let Sh. Chauhan seek instructions from the respondent bank to settle the matter with the appellant.

Adjourned to 11.09.2026.

Interim order to continue.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 143/2025/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. Katar Singh Bhati Vs Jain Coop. Bank Ltd. & Ors.

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Rishabh Jain, Adv. for the Appellant.

Sh. Ritik Chauhan, Adv. for R1 Bank.

In compliance of direction dated 02.06.2026, Ld. Counsel for the appellant has brought a statement of accounts of appellant's bank running into 170 pages. The same is returned back to Sh. Jain to file precise statement of accounts pertaining to each case identifying entry of credit in the account of the respondent bank.

Let the needful be done within four weeks from today.

Ld. Counsel for the appellant further submits that he has not received statement of accounts filed by Sh. Ritik Chauhan, counsel for the respondent bank on the last date of hearing. Sh. Ritik has handed over the same to Sh. Jain.

Ld. Counsel for the appellant submits that he has already place on record salary slips of the appellant showing deductions from his salary. Same is taken on record. He undertakes to supply the same to counsel for R1 bank by WhatsApp within two days from today.

Service to R4 principal borrower Sh. Jugender Singh is claimed to have been effected. Affidavit of service alongwith photographs of service has been filed. Same is taken on record. However, none has appeared for R4. R4 is therefore, proceeded against ex-parte.

Tribunal is of the opinion that the matter can be amicably settled between the appellant and the respondent bank, where appellant being a surety who has admittedly paid an amount of Rs. 9,23,000/- and claims to have actually paid Rs. 11,00,000/- seems to have been sufficiently burdened with liability. Appellant may approach the respondent bank to work out a settlement qua him only.

Let Sh. Chauhan seek instructions from the respondent bank to settle the matter with the appellant.

Adjourned to 11.09.2026.

Interim order to continue.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 144/2025/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. Katar Singh Bhati Vs Jain Coop. Bank Ltd. & Ors.

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Rishabh Jain, Adv. for the Appellant.

Sh. Ritik Chauhan, Adv. for R1 Bank.

Application UO5R20CPC for service of R4 principal borrower by way of substituted modes has been moved.

Tribunal is of the opinion that issue of service can await. Let the possibility of settlement be explored.

Adjourned to 11.09.2026.

Interim order to continue.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 145/2025/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. Katar Singh Bhati Vs Jain Coop. Bank Ltd. & Ors.

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Rishabh Jain, Adv. for the Appellant.

Sh. Ritik Chauhan, Adv. for R1 Bank.

Application UO5R20CPC for service of R4 principal borrower by way of substituted modes has been moved.

Tribunal is of the opinion that issue of service can await. Let the possibility of settlement be explored.

Adjourned to 11.09.2026.

Interim order to continue.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

BEFORE DELHI COOPERATIVE TRIBUNAL
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
VIKAS BHAWAN, GROUND FLOOR, DELHI

Appeal No. 049/2025/DCT

In the matter of:

1. **Delhi Swastik Coop. Urban Thrift & Credit Society Ltd.**
2. **Sh. Bal Kishan Gupta** **...Appellants**

Vs.

1. **Registrar Cooperative Societies, Office of Registrar Cooperative Societies, Parliament Street, New Delhi**

2. **Sh. Vinod Kumar Mishra** **...Respondents**

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. for the Appellant Society with Sh. Arun Gupta & Sh. R.P. Tulsian.

R3 Sh. Rajan Gupta in person and Sh. Abhishek Garg, Adv. appears through VC.

Sh. Bharat Bhushan, Member in person.

Sh. Bharat Bhushan who is present before the Tribunal has placed on record a copy of IA No. 215400/2026 in SLP No. 16382/2025, praying for the SLP to be dismissed as withdrawn. Counsel for R3 submits that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 29.04.2026 has directed the Tribunal to hear the matter finally and dispose if off. Vide the aforesaid order; Hon'ble High Court had also observed that SLP No. 28831/2025 is to be withdrawn from the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Ld. Counsel for R3 points out that SLP No. mentioned in the order of the Hon'ble High Court is the diary no. and not the SLP No. Ld. Counsels do not dispute the same and Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Counsel submits that since the application has been moved, the Tribunal may proceed with the disposal of the appeal.

We have heard Ld. Counsels and during the proceedings, a consensus has been arrived at. All the parties are keen for the elections to be held at an early date.

Since, the Hon'ble High Court has observed in the proceedings of 29.04.2026 that the Tribunal is at liberty to appoint a new Returning Officer if so, required.

Contd…

…Contd

Tribunal is of the opinion since the process for elections was commenced in March, 2025, a considerable time has lapsed; therefore, the election process ought to be commenced afresh. Tribunal is also of the opinion that a new Returning Officer be appointed.

Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for the appellant society submits that he has instructions from A2 Sh. Balkishan and Sh. Rajan Gupta and Sh. Bharat Bhushan present before the Tribunal to submit that they have no objection in case a serving Deputy Registrar, from the O/o RCS is appointed as Returning Officer.

It is submitted by Sh. Garg on instructions from the respondent who is present before the Tribunal that the members have no prejudice against Sh. Arun Lal who was the RO appointed by society and they submits that the members hold Sh. Arun Lal in high esteem and extreme regards.

Tribunal is of the opinion that Sh. Birender Kumar, Deputy Registrar, O/o RCS is a consensus candidate and is appointed as Returning Officer for conducting the elections. He shall commence the proceedings within 10 days from today. Rs. 50,000/- is the fees for DR to discharge his functions as RO, which shall be payable from the funds of the society. It is directed that the RO shall conclude the proceedings expeditiously in any case on or before 15.11.2026.

Appellant MC shall cooperate and make available all records to the RO as and when he takes over the charge.

Present appeal is accordingly disposed off.

This order is subject to SLP No. 28831/2025 which is to be withdrawn from the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

File be consigned to record room.

A copy of this order be sent to DR concerned and RCS and TCR, if any, be sent back to concerned branch of RCS.

A copy of this order be given dasti to the parties.

2nd set be weeded out forthwith.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 064/2021/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. B.K. Jha Vs Shree Agrasen Coop. (U) T/C Society Ltd.

03.08.2026

Present: Appellant in person.

Sh. Amar Singh, AR of the Respondent Society.

Appellant seeks more time to visit the office of the respondent society to reconcile the records.

Heard.

Adjourned to 22.09.2026.

This shall be the last opportunity for the appellant failing which it shall be presumed that appellant is acting malafide.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 177/2022/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. Deepak Vs Jan Nidhi Coop. (U) T/C Society Ltd. & Ors.

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Kapil Kaushik, Adv. for the Appellant.

Sh. Mukesh Garg, Adv. for the R1 Society.

Sh. Kaushik seeks more time to address arguments. However, Sh. Garg has opposed the adjournment. It is submitted that Appellant is misusing the concession of interim order and delaying disposal of the appeal. It is further submitted that recovery proceedings are also not being proceeded with. He, therefore, prays for vacation of interim order.

Heard.

Perusal of order dated 27.02.2023 reveals that operation of impugned order has been stayed only qua the Appellant.

Tribunal is of the opinion that no further clarification is required. However, an explanation is sought from the Recovery Officer as to why recovery proceedings qua the Principal Borrower are not being complied with.

Copy of this order be sent to Recovery Officer, O/o RCS and a copy be given dasti to Sh. Mukesh Garg.

A progress in the recovery proceedings qua the Principal Borrower be placed on record on the adjourned date.

Adjourned to 27.10.2026.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 178/2022/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. Deepak Vs Jan Nidhi Coop. (U) T/C Society Ltd. & Ors.

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Kapil Kaushik, Adv. for the Appellant.

Sh. Mukesh Garg, Adv. for the R1 Society.

Sh. Kaushik seeks more time to address arguments. However, Sh. Garg has opposed the adjournment. It is submitted that Appellant is misusing the concession of interim order and delaying disposal of the appeal. It is further submitted that recovery proceedings are also not being proceeded with. He, therefore, prays for vacation of interim order.

Heard.

Perusal of order dated 27.02.2023 reveals that operation of impugned order has been stayed only qua the Appellant.

Tribunal is of the opinion that no further clarification is required. However, an explanation is sought from the Recovery Officer as to why recovery proceedings qua the Principal Borrower are not being complied with.

Copy of this order be sent to Recovery Officer, O/o RCS and a copy be given dasti to Sh. Mukesh Garg.

A progress in the recovery proceedings qua the Principal Borrower be placed on record on the adjourned date.

Adjourned to 27.10.2026.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 043/2025/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. Kuldeep Singh Vs The Kangra Coop. Bank Ltd.

03.08.2026

Present: Sh. Himanshu Verma, Proxy Counsel for the appellant appears through VC.

Sh. Lokender, AR and Sh. Harish Sharma, Manager of the Respondent Bank.

Arguing counsel for the appellant is stated to be in some person difficulty and therefore, adjournment is sought.

Heard.

Adjourned for arguments on 01.10.2026.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)

Appeal No. 024/2022/DCT

In the matter of:
Sh. Pradeep Kumar Jain Vs The RCS & Ors.

03.08.2026

Present: Appellant in person with Sh. Anil Kumar, Adv.

Sh. Abhishek Sharma, Law Officer for the Respondent Bank in person and Sh. Piyush M. Dwivedi, Adv. appears through VC.

Sh. Dwivedi submits that he has instructions from the bank to settle the matter in case appellant pays the penalty imposed, then respondent bank will waive off the interest. Sh. Anil Kumar on instructions from the appellant submits that appellant is not prepared in any manner to concede to the penalty imposed and the matter therefore, cannot be settled.

Adjourned for remaining arguments on 07.08.2026.

Meanwhile, issue notice to DR concerned of O/o RCS to present with the TCR on the adjourned date. Sh. Abhishek Sharma, Law Officer of the respondent bank may assist the O/o RCS in locating the TCR.

Copy of this order be given dasti to Law Officer.

(J.P. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (DCT)

(NAROTTAM KAUSHAL)
CHAIRMAN (DCT)