BEFORE DELHI COOPERATIVE TRIBUNAL GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI VIKAS BHAWAN, GROUND FLOOR, DELHI

DAILY ORDERS

Order of 10.10.2025

Present: Sh. Satyadev Prakash & Ms. Mansi Rajpal, Advocates for the Appellant.

Fresh appeal filed on 24.09.2025. Be checked and registered.

It is noticed that other sureties have not been impleaded as a party, instead Central Bank of India has been impleaded. Ld. Counsel submits that he will implead other sureties as party and submits that he will drop Central Bank of India as party.

Let amended memo of parties along with PF be filed within two weeks from today.

Notice be thereafter issued in the main appeal as well as in the application for condonation of delay as well as for stay, to R2, R3 and newly impleaded respondents on filing of PF/SP or Courier returnable on 20.11.2025.

Present: Sh. Satyadev Prakash & Ms. Mansi Rajpal, Advocates for the Appellant.

Fresh appeal filed on 24.09.2025. Be checked and registered.

It is noticed that other sureties have not been impleaded as a party, instead Central Bank of India has been impleaded. Ld. Counsel submits that he will implead other sureties as party and submits that he will drop Central Bank of India as party.

Let amended memo of parties along with PF be filed within two weeks from today.

Notice be thereafter issued in the main appeal as well as in the application for condonation of delay as well as for stay, to R2, R3 and newly impleaded respondents on filing of PF/SP or Courier returnable on 20.11.2025.

Appeal Nos. 004/2021, 005/2021, 006/2021, 007/2021 & 051/2020/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Sh. Chirag Alagh, Adv. for the Appellant Society appears through VC.

Sh. Ankit Bhardwaj, Adv. for all the respondents.

Quorum is not complete.

Sh. Alagh submits that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 13.12.2023 had modified its initial order and directed the Tribunal to hear the appeal and further to keep the order in abeyance in case the final order of this Tribunal is against the petitioners/appellant before the Tribunal.

Tribunal is of the opinion that it would rather wait for the final adjudication by the Hon'ble High Court.

At this stage, Sh. Alagh submits that at least his application for interim order be taken up.

This Tribunal is of the opinion that when the issue of condonation of delay itself is pending before the Hon. High Court, taking up the application for interim order be deferred till the issue of delay is settled.

Adjourned to await further directions from the Hon. High Court of Delhi to 27.01.2026.

Appeal No. 073/2025/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Appellant in person and Md. Imran, Adv. appears through VC.

Quorum is not complete.

PF has not filed. Sh. Imran submits that needful shall be done within one week from today.

Notice be thereafter issued, on filing of PF/SP and Courier, for 08.12.2025.

Appeal No. 135/2022/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Utkarsh Batra, Adv. for the Appellant Society

Ms. Suhani, proxy counsel for the respondent (through VC)

Quorum is not complete.

Adjourned to 15.01.2026 for arguments.

Appeal No. 142/2024/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Appellant in person with Sh. Vaibhav, proxy counsel (through VC)

Sh. Hari Om Sharma, AR of R1 Society in person and Sh. R.P. Sahu, Adv. appears through VC.

Quorum is not complete.

Adjourned to 02.12.2025 for arguments.

Present: Appellant in person.

Ms. Tasmiya Rehman, AR of the Respondent Bank with Sh. Gautam Chakravarty, Adv.

Quorum is not complete.

Appellant volunteers to approach the respondent bank during the course of the day to meet the concerned authority and try to work out a settlement.

Let the parties explore possibility of settlement. The Respondent Bank should be open to settling the account with permissible concessions. Respondent Bank may also consider waiving off the penal interest and litigation expenses.

AR of the Bank undertakes that no coercive action shall be taken against the Appellant when he visits the Bank for settlement.

To report settlement, list on 29.10.2025.

Copy of this order be given dasti to both the parties.

Appeal No. 002/2024/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Ms. Siddhi Mishra, Proxy Counsel for the Appellant.

Ms. Tasmiya Rehman, AR of the Respondent Bank with Sh. Gautam Chakravarty, Adv.

Quorum is not complete.

Appellant has not complied with the order dated 05.08.2025.

Let appellant be present before the Tribunal on the adjourned date.

Adjourned to 10.11.2025.

Appeal No. 139/2024/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Sh. Kuldeep Singh Katiyar, Adv. for the Appellant appears through VC.

Sh. Naveen Rathore, AR for the R1 Bank.

Quorum is not complete.

Sh. Katiyar, counsel for the appellant seeks yet another opportunity to move an application for bringing on record LRs of the deceased/appellant no.-1.

Let the needful be done within two weeks from today.

Now, to come up for further proceedings on 10.11.2025.

Present: Ms. Divya Khan, proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Anil Mathur, AR for the respondent society.

Quorum is not complete.

Sh. Mathur submits that appellant has furnished incorrect address in the memo of parties. It is submitted that he is not available at the address mentioned in the memo of parties. Ms. Khan submits that she is not sure about it.

Let complete address and proof thereof be furnished by the adjourned date failing which appeal may be dismissed for non-prosecution.

Meanwhile, issue fresh notice to the concerned AR of the RCS to present with the Trial Court record on the adjourned date.

Adjourned to 11.11.2025.

Appeal No. 005/2025/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. for the Appellant appears through VC.

Sh. R.K. Modi, Adv. for R2 Society.

Quorum is not complete.

On joint request, adjourned for further proceedings to 17.10.2025 at 12:30PM.

Appeal No. 118/2014/DCT

10.10.2025

 $\label{thm:conditional} Present: Sh. \ Sandeep \ Kumar, Adv. \ for the \ Applicant/Respondent \ appears through \ VC.$

Sh. R.K. Modi, Adv. for the Non-applicant/Society.

Quorum is not complete.

On joint request, adjourned for further proceedings to 17.10.2025 at 12:30PM.

Review Petition No. 06/2023/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. for the Review Petitioner appears through VC.

Sh. R.K. Modi, Adv. for Veer Puru CGHS Ltd.

Sh. Amit Sharma, CAE of R2, DCHFC in person and Sh. Amish Ram Dabas, Adv. appears through VC.

Quorum is not complete.

On joint request, adjourned for further proceedings to 17.10.2025 at 12:30PM.

Appeal No. 007/2019/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: None for the Appellant.

Sh. R.K. Modi, Adv. for Veer Puru CGHS.

Sh. Amit Sharma, CAE of DCHFC in person and Sh. Amish Ram Dabas, Adv. appears through VC.

Quorum is not complete.

On joint request, adjourned for further proceedings to 16.01.2026.

Appeal No. 006/2019/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Sh. R.K. Modi, Adv. for Veer Puru CGHS Ltd.

Sh. Amit Sharma, CAE of DCHFC in person and Sh. Amish Ram Dabas, Adv. appears through VC.

Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. for some of the individual members appears through VC.

Quorum is not complete.

On joint request, adjourned for further proceedings to 17.10.2025 at 12:30PM.

Appeal Nos. 020/2014, 022/2015, 023/2015, 211/2014 & 183/2018/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Sh. R.K. Modi, Adv. for Veer Puru CGHS Ltd.

Sh. Amit Sharma, CAE of DCHFC except in Appeal No. 183/2018 in person and Sh. Amish Ram Dabas, Adv. appears through VC.

Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. for some of the individual members appears through VC.

Quorum is not complete.

On joint request, adjourned for further proceedings to 17.10.2025 at 12:30PM.

Appeal No. 023/2021/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: None for the Appellant.

Sh. Naveen Rathore, AR of R1 Bank.

Sh. Avnish Maithani, Proxy counsel for Sh. Namit Saxena, LAC for R2 appears through VC.

Quorum is not complete.

Adjourned for further proceedings to 10.12.2025.

Appeal No. 029/2023/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Sh. Chaman Lal, Adv. for the appellant (through VC).

Sh. Ravinder Krishan, official of the Respondent bank in person and Sh. Himanshu Shariya, Proxy Counsel appears through VC.

Quorum is not complete.

Adjourned for further proceedings to 05.12.2025.

Appeal No. 079/2021/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: None for the Appellant.

Sh. Naveen Rathore, AR of the Respondent Bank.

Quorum is not complete.

AR submits that appellant is misusing the concession of interim order and is not coming forward either for settlement or arguing the appeal. AR prays that interim order be vacated.

Let this be the last opportunity for the appellant to join the proceedings failing which interim order may be vacated.

Adjourned to 09.12.2025.

Interim order to continue.

Appeal No. 096/2021/DCT

10.10.2025

Present: Appellant in person and Ms. Nisha Ranga, Adv. (through VC).

Sh. Himanshu Shariya, Proxy Counsel for R1/ Society (through VC).

Quorum is not complete.

Adjourned for arguments to 14.01.2026.

Present: Appellant in person with Sh. R.K. Modi, Adv.

Quorum is not complete.

File taken up on an application seeking early hearing and interim order.

Heard.

Issue notice in the application to the non-applicant/respondent society by way of e-mail and WhatsApp.

At this stage, Sh. Rajiv Vig, Adv. for the respondent society who is appearing through VC in another matter, accepts notice on behalf of the society.

Let reply to the application be filed within five days from today with an advance copy to Sh. Modi.

Adjourned for arguments on the application to 17.10.2025.

Present: Sh. Madan Mohan, AR of the Appellant Society with Sh. S.B. Upadhyay, Adv.

R1 in person.

Quorum is not complete.

Sh. Upadhyay has further placed on record copy of registration certificate, perpetual lease deed and occupancy certificate. Same are taken on record and marked as Mark P1, P2 & P3. Copy of the same have been handed over to the respondent Sh. Kishan Lal. AR of the respondent society undertakes that he will show the original of the aforesaid documents to the Tribunal within two working days from today.

Documents filed on the previous date of hearing, i.e. resignation letter of respondent, receipt of receiving cheque no. 3852, dated 20.08.1996 issued by the appellant society in favour of the respondent, copy of cheque book entry with respect to the aforesaid cheque, copy of pass book of the society containing the encashment of aforesaid cheque are exhibited as Ex. P4, Ex. P5, Ex. P6 & Ex. P7. Original documents are seen and returned.

One set of the documents, i.e. Mark P1, P2, P3, and Ex. P4, Ex. P5, Ex. P6 & Ex. P7 is handed over to respondent Sh. Kishan Lal as well. Respondent no.-1/ Kishan Lal was enquired about the contents of documents handed over to him today. He declined the authenticity thereof. A statement under his hand-writing to this effect was recorded, which is exhibited as Ex.P-8. Respondent no.-1 again submitted that he does not intend to get the matter argued by an advocate on his behalf. He submitted that he had paid Rs.12 Lakhs in cash to the appellant/ Society and he had handed over the original receipts to Sh.T.S. Kashyap, the then Chairman, Delhi Co-operative Tribunal. He made an irresponsible statement against Sh.T.S.Kashyap, the then Chairman, DCT.

Arguments have been addressed by Sh.S.B.Upadhyay, ld. Counsel for the appellant. I have heard the respondent no.-1/ Kishan Lal, as well.

Now, to come up for orders on 16.10.2025.